

Markovian diffusion approach to the relativistic ideal gas system

Ryszard Zygadlo

Marian Smoluchowski Institute of Physics, Jagiellonian University, Reymonta 4, PL-30059 Kraków, Poland

(Dated: February 2, 2008)

It is shown that the usual identification of certain averages with the relativistic thermodynamical functions is not possible in the moving reference frame description. The Brownian motion approach is used as the Markovian kinetic description of the relativistic ideal gas system.

The number of phenomena, the *critical slowing down* [1], *noise-induced transitions* [2], *stochastic resonance* [3], *ratchet dynamics* [4], and *resonant activation* [5], have been discovered by studying the effect of the noise in nonlinear systems [6]. The mechanical model for the most of applications is the (Newtonian) Brownian particle in a certain external potential. In spite of the significant progress with understanding the nonlinear stochastic behavior the rigorous results, going beyond the single-event stationary description, are not available for multi-variable systems and thus usually the overdamped kinetic is considered. The stochastic description is then provided by the first order Langevin equation or, in the case of (*thermal*) Gaussian white noise (GWN), by the corresponding (Smoluchowski-) Fokker-Planck equation [7] in the *configuration* space. Note that the pioneering works [8] on the free Brownian motion also deal with the diffusion, but in the *momentum* space,

$$\dot{p}_t = -\gamma v + \xi_t, \quad (1)$$

where the kinematic friction is proportional to the velocity $v = p/m$ and $\langle \xi_t \xi_s \rangle = 2D\delta(t-s)$. The Eq. (1) leads to the correct stationary state of thermal equilibrium

$$W(p) \propto e^{-(\gamma/D)p^2/2m} = e^{-\beta\varepsilon} \quad (2)$$

of an ideal gas system and provides the fluctuation-dissipation relation $\gamma/D = \beta$ (or $D = \gamma k_B T$). The first order Langevin equation in the momentum space may be thus considered as a diffusion Markovian model of thermal equilibration for spatially homogeneous free particles systems. The main idea of the present paper is to apply the same approach to the ideal gas of relativistic particles. The relation between momentum and velocity $v = d\varepsilon/dp = p/\sqrt{p^2 + m^2}$, where $\varepsilon = \sqrt{p^2 + m^2}$ is the energy of the particle, is then nonlinear, as well as the kinetic equation

$$\dot{p}_t = -\gamma p/\sqrt{p^2 + m^2} + \xi_t. \quad (3)$$

The Gibbs-Boltzmann 1D normalized stationary distribution easily follows from Fokker-Planck theory [7]

$$W(p) = \frac{1}{2mK_1(m\gamma/D)} e^{-(\gamma/D)\sqrt{p^2 + m^2}} \quad (4)$$

and the Einstein relation $\gamma/D = \beta$ remains unchanged. $K_1(m\beta)$ is a modified Bessel function.

The use of the diffusion description in thermodynamics is related to the Markovian approximation of the kinetic theory. The general Kramers-Fokker-Planck kinetic equation in the *phase* space, see e.g. Ref. [9], simplifies to the form

$$\partial_t P(\vec{p}, t) = \gamma \nabla \circ (\vec{p} P / \varepsilon) + D \nabla^2 P \quad (5)$$

for a spatially homogeneous 3D system of a free relativistic particles with a (*reduced*) potential interaction with the thermal bath. The potential interaction corresponds to the state-independent diffusion at the level of equation (5). $\nabla = \partial/\partial \vec{p}$ and $\varepsilon = \sqrt{\vec{p}^2 + m^2}$. The 3D generalization of the Langevin description (3) is obvious, however in contrast to the Newtonian case it results with the set of three *coupled* equations with additive independent noises. The second remark concerns the specific requirements of the special relativity theory. Note that the Gibbs-Boltzmann equilibrium distribution is established in the *distinguished* resting reference frame of the thermal bath (and of the considered system as a whole), in which the system and the bath remain in contact and in equilibrium. The parameter $\beta = 1/k_B T$ is given by the temperature of the thermal bath [10]. The any corresponding probability density distributions in a momentum space, $W(\vec{p})$ and $W'(\vec{p}')$ in a resting and moving (along x -axis) with a constant velocity V reference frame, respectively, are related by [11]

$$\varepsilon W(\vec{p}) = \varepsilon' W'(\vec{p}'), \quad (6)$$

where ε and $\vec{p}$ should be expressed as functions of ε' and $\vec{p}'$, according to the Lorentz transformation

$$\varepsilon = (\varepsilon' + V p'_x) / \sqrt{1 - V^2}, \quad (7)$$

$$p_x = (p'_x + V \varepsilon') / \sqrt{1 - V^2}, \quad p_y = p'_y, \quad p_z = p'_z. \quad (8)$$

Because the time increments, counted from the initial preparation of the system, are given by $t = t'/\sqrt{1 - V^2}$ and t' , respectively, the Eq. (6) leads to following relation

$$P'(\vec{p}', t') = \frac{1 + V p'_x / \varepsilon'}{\sqrt{1 - V^2}} P\left(\frac{p'_x + V \varepsilon'}{\sqrt{1 - V^2}}, p'_y, p'_z, \frac{t'}{\sqrt{1 - V^2}}\right) \quad (9)$$

between an arbitrary $P(\vec{p}, t)$ and the corresponding $P'(\vec{p}', t')$. Because the stochastic evolution is Markovian the process is completely determined in both reference frames if the time dependent single-event probability density $P(\vec{p}, t)$ is known. The resulting from Eqs. (5)

and (9) Fokker–Planck equation in a moving reference frame is however very complicated in 3D, so the next consideration are restricted to one dimensional case.

The (1D) equilibrium distribution in a moving reference frame results directly from Eqs. (4), (6), (7), and (8),

$$W'(p') = \frac{1 + Vp'/\sqrt{p'^2 + m^2}}{2m\sqrt{1 - V^2}K_1(m\beta)} \exp\left[-\frac{\sqrt{p'^2 + m^2} + Vp'}{\beta^{-1}\sqrt{1 - V^2}}\right], \quad (10)$$

and thus it is not involved with particular kinetic model. From the viewpoint of the probability theory the difference between distribution (10) and the Boltzmann distribution (4) is *essential*, i.e., it cannot be regarded by the change of the *location parameters* [12], in contrast to the Newtonian case. The number of averages may be computed from the generic formula [13]

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx \frac{e^{-a\sqrt{x^2 + m^2} - bx}}{\sqrt{x^2 + m^2}} = 2K_0(m\sqrt{a^2 - b^2}), \quad (11)$$

where $a > |b|$, by the successive differentiation with respect to a and/or b .

$$\langle \varepsilon' \rangle = \frac{-mK_1'(m\beta)}{\sqrt{1 - V^2}K_1(m\beta)}, \quad (12)$$

$$\langle p' \rangle = \frac{mVK_1'(m\beta)}{\sqrt{1 - V^2}K_1(m\beta)}, \quad (13)$$

$$\langle \varepsilon'^2 \rangle = \frac{1}{\beta^2(1 - V^2)K_1} [m^2\beta^2K_1'' - m\beta V^2K_1' + V^2K_1], \quad (14)$$

$$\langle p'^2 \rangle = \frac{1}{\beta^2(1 - V^2)K_1} [m^2\beta^2V^2K_1'' - m\beta K_1' + K_1], \quad (15)$$

$$\langle p'\varepsilon' \rangle = \frac{V}{\beta^2(1 - V^2)K_1} [m^2\beta^2K_1'' - m\beta K_1' + K_1]. \quad (16)$$

The omitted arguments of (14–16) are equal $m\beta$. The averages in the resting reference frame are obtained by putting $V = 0$ in Eqs. (12–16).

The stationary averages of twovectors $\langle(\varepsilon, p)\rangle = (-mK_1'/K_1, 0)$ and $\langle(\varepsilon', p')\rangle = (\langle\varepsilon\rangle/\sqrt{1 - V^2}, -V\langle\varepsilon\rangle/\sqrt{1 - V^2})$, in the resting and moving reference frame satisfy the Eqs. (7, 8). We have also $\langle p' \rangle = -V\langle \varepsilon' \rangle$. Thus the equilibrium mean energy and mean momentum of the Brownian particle may be considered as the components of appropriate twovector of a free particle with *renormalized resting mass*

$$m(T) = -mK_1'(m\beta)/K_1(m\beta) [= \langle \varepsilon \rangle]. \quad (17)$$

The Eqs. (14) and (15) show that the invariant relation $\langle \varepsilon'^2 - p'^2 \rangle = m^2$ holds identically, because the equation defining the Bessel function $K_1(m\beta)$,

$$(m\beta)^2K_1'' + (m\beta)K_1' - [1 + (m\beta)^2]K_1 = 0, \quad (18)$$

is obtained as the condition.

The single collision with the certain “wall” of 1D box [of a volume (length) $\mathcal{V}$] in the resting reference frame is associated with energy-momentum transfer $(\varepsilon, p) - (\varepsilon, -p) = (0, 2p)$ and the period between successive events is $2\mathcal{V}/v = 2\varepsilon\mathcal{V}/p$. Thus the pressure is

$$\mathcal{P} = \langle p^2/(\mathcal{V}\sqrt{p^2 + m^2}) \rangle = 1/\beta\mathcal{V} = k_B T/\mathcal{V}. \quad (19)$$

The corresponding momentum transfer in the moving reference frame follows from the Lorentz transformation of $(0, 2p)$ and it is equal $2p/\sqrt{1 - V^2}$. Simultaneously the period changes according to the Lorentz dilatation, so finally [14]

$$\mathcal{P}' = \mathcal{P}/(1 - V^2) = k_B T/(\mathcal{V}'\sqrt{1 - V^2}). \quad (20)$$

The (thermodynamical) entropy is defined in the resting reference frame by

$$S = -k_B \langle \ln[hW(p)/\mathcal{V}] \rangle = k_B \{ \beta \langle \varepsilon \rangle + \ln[2m\mathcal{V}K_1(m\beta)/h] \}, \quad (21)$$

where the Planck constant is introduced for dimensional purposes. Using $U' = \langle \varepsilon' \rangle = U/\sqrt{1 - V^2}$ and

$$dU' = T'dS' - \mathcal{P}'d\mathcal{V}' = (TdS - \mathcal{P}d\mathcal{V})/\sqrt{1 - V^2}, \quad (22)$$

in view of Eq. (20) one obtains the relation $T'dS' = TdS/\sqrt{1 - V^2}$. Assuming $T' = \alpha(V)T$ and using Eq. (21) the relations [14]

$$T' = T, \quad \text{and} \quad S' = S/\sqrt{1 - V^2}, \quad (23)$$

supporting the Landsberg choice of the invariant temperature [15] are obtained.

The identification of averages (19) and (21) with thermodynamical functions is not possible in a moving reference frame. Neither the quantity defined by $-k_B \langle \ln[hW'(p')/\mathcal{V}'] \rangle$ is equal to the entropy (23) S' , nor the quantity $\langle p'^2/(\mathcal{V}'\sqrt{p'^2 + m^2}) \rangle$ is equal to the pressure (20) $\mathcal{P}'$, which follows from *explicit* expressions. For the same reasons the relation between the energy fluctuation and the heat capacity

$$\text{Var}(\varepsilon) = -\partial \langle \varepsilon \rangle / \partial \beta, \quad (24)$$

do not hold in the moving reference frame,

$$\text{Var}(\varepsilon') = \frac{m^2K_1'' - \frac{mV^2}{\beta}K_1' + \frac{V^2}{\beta^2}K_1 - (mK_1')^2/K_1}{(1 - V^2)K_1}, \quad (25)$$

and

$$-\frac{\partial \langle \varepsilon' \rangle}{\partial \beta} = \frac{m^2K_1'' - (mK_1')^2/K_1}{\sqrt{1 - V^2}K_1}. \quad (26)$$

The r.h.s. of Eqs. (25, 26) are different, if $V \neq 0$.

The Fokker–Planck equation of the transformed (1D) kinetic, Eqs. (5) and (9), reads

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t'} P'(p', t') &= -\gamma \frac{\partial}{\partial p'} \frac{(v' + V)}{(1 + Vv')^2} P' \\ &+ D \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial p'} \frac{(1 - V^2)^{1/4}}{1 + Vv'} \frac{\partial}{\partial p'} \frac{(1 - V^2)^{1/4}}{1 + Vv'} \right] P' \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

and thus corresponds to the following multiplicative

$$\frac{dp'}{dt'} = -\gamma \frac{v' + V}{(1 + Vv')^2} + \frac{(1 - V^2)^{1/4}}{1 + Vv'} \xi_{t'}, \quad (28)$$

Langevin equation (written in the Stratonovich interpretation [7]), where $v' = p'/\sqrt{p'^2 + m^2}$. The Eq. (28) may be obtained directly by appropriate transformation of stochastic processes entering to Eq. (3). We have $dp_t = [1 + (p'/\varepsilon')] dp'/\sqrt{1 - V^2}$ and $dt = dt'/\sqrt{1 - V^2}$, so

$$dp_t/dt = (1 + Vv') dp'/dt'. \quad (29)$$

Dividing the sides of (8) by the respective sides of (7) one has

$$v = (v' + V)/(1 + Vv'), \quad (30)$$

which is the relativistic rule of collecting the velocities. Because $\langle \xi_t \xi_0 \rangle = 2D\delta(t) = 2D\delta(t'/\sqrt{1 - V^2}) = \sqrt{1 - V^2} [2D\delta(t')]$ the GWN transforms according

$$\xi_t = (1 - V^2)^{1/4} \xi_{t'}, \quad (31)$$

where $\xi_{t'}$ is again a white Gaussian noise with the same parameter D . Collecting (29–31) we obtain the Langevin equation (28) in Stratonovich interpretation (because the ordinary calculus has been consequently used [7]). The Eq. (28) is a rather complicated nonlinear stochastic equation with (nonlinearly coupled) multiplicative (i.e., the state dependent) noise. For the special case of the ultrarelativistic kinetic ($m = 0$) it simplifies to the *quasi-linear* form

$$\frac{dp'}{dt'} = -\frac{\gamma}{\text{sign}(p') + V} + \frac{(1 - V^2)^{1/4}}{1 + V\text{sign}(p')} \xi_{t'}. \quad (32)$$

The certain characteristics of the kinetic (28) are in principle known from the general Pontryagin-type equations [16] in terms of two *quadratures* of the stationary

probability density distribution and of the coefficients of the Langevin equation. We consider the relaxation time T' [17] of the stationary autocorrelation function (in the moving reference frame) $T' = \int_0^\infty dt' [\langle p'_t p'_0 \rangle - \langle p' \rangle^2] / [\langle p'^2 \rangle - \langle p' \rangle^2]$, which is a quantity known from the Jung and Risken formula [18]. Unfortunately, the integrals cannot be *explicitly* carried out for the general case (28), so we restrict the consideration to the ultrarelativistic kinetic (32) only. Then the calculation is elementary and results in

$$T' = (\gamma\beta)^{-1} (1 - V^2)^{-1/2} (5 + 8V^2) / (2 + V^2). \quad (33)$$

Thus, from the viewpoint of a moving observer the decay of the stationary correlations proceeds slower, comparing to $T = 5/(2\gamma\beta)$ in the resting reference frame. Among the usual Lorentz dilatation some additional correction appears, resulting from the mentioned difference of the meaning of the averages in both reference frames.

The general conclusion of the paper is the following. The *invariance principle*, in the context of the thermodynamical description of a free particles system in the thermal equilibrium, requires $W'(p')$ in a moving reference frame to be related with the Gibbs–Boltzmann canonical partition function $W(p)$ (in the resting reference frame) via Eq. (6). The resulting probability density distribution (10) is, as the object of the probability theory, *essentially* different from the distribution (4). The certain relations of the type (19), (21), (24) between quantities of the mechanical (mean energy, pressure) and the nonmechanical (entropy, temperature) origin are established only in a distinguished resting reference frame, of the considered system as a whole and of the thermal bath, in which they remain (in contact and) in thermal equilibrium. The usual identification of thermodynamical functions with certain averages is not possible in the moving reference frame description. The thermodynamical functions in the moving reference frame results from formulas (12), (20) and (23). Within the Markovian diffusion theory the kinetic is provided by Eqs. (5) and (9), or for the 1D case *explicitly* by Eq. (27) or (28). The correlation time T' for 1D ultrarelativistic case is given by Eq. (33).

-
- [1] A. Schenzle and H. Brand, Phys. Rev. A **20**, 1628 (1979); R. Graham and A. Schenzle, *ibid.* **25**, 1731 (1982); M. Suzuki, K. Kaneko, and S. Takesue, Prog. Theor. Phys. **67**, 1676 (1982); M. Suzuki, S. Takesue, and F. Sasagawa, *ibid.* **68**, 98 (1982).
[2] W. Horsthemke and R. Lefever, *Noise-Induced Transi-*

- tions*, (Springer, Berlin, 1984).
[3] L. Gammaitoni, P. Haenggi, P. Jung, and F. Marchesoni, Rev. Mod. Phys. **70**, 223 (1998).
[4] P. Reimann, Phys. Rep. **361**, 57 (2002).
[5] C.R. Doering and J.C. Gadoua, Phys. Rev. Lett. **69**, 2318 (1992); P. Pechukas and P. Haenggi, *ibid.* **73**, 2772

- (1994); P. Reimann, *ibid.* **74**, 4576 (1995); P. Reimann and T.C. Elston *ibid.* **77**, 5328 (1996).
- [6] *Noise in Nonlinear Dynamical Systems* Vol. 1, Eds. F. Moss and P.V.E. McClintock (University, Cambridge, 1989).
- [7] C.W. Gardiner, *Handbook of Stochastic Methods* (Springer, Berlin, 1983); H. Risken, *The Fokker-Planck Equation: Methods of Solution and Application* (Springer, Berlin, 1983).
- [8] A. Einstein, Ann. Physik **17**, 549 (1905); M. Smoluchowski, *ibid.* **21**, 756 (1906); P. Langevin, Comptes rendus **146**, 530 (1908); G.E. Uhlenbeck and L.S. Ornstein, Phys. Rev. **36**, 823 (1930); S. Chandrasekhar, Rev. Mod. Phys. **15**, 1 (1943); M.C. Wang and G.E. Uhlenbeck, *ibid.* **17**, 323 (1945).
- [9] D.N. Zubarev, *Nonequilibrium Statistical Thermodynamics*, (Plenum, New York, 1974).
- [10] B.C. Eu and L.S. Garcia-Colin, Phys. Rev. E **54**, 2501 (1996).
- [11] L. Landau and E. Lifshitz, *The Classical Theory of Fields* (Pergamon, Oxford, 1971); S.R. de Groot, W.A. van Leeuwen, and Ch.G. van Weert, *Relativistic Kinetic Theory* (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1980).
- [12] W. Feller, *An Introduction to Probability and its Applications* (Wiley, New York, 1966).
- [13] I.M. Gradshteyn and I.M. Ryzhik, *Table of Integrals, Series and Products* (Academic, New York, 1965).
- [14] R. Zygadlo, cond-mat/0310022
- [15] P.T. Landsberg, Nature **212**, 597 (1966); **214**, 903 (1967); P.T. Landsberg and K.H. Jones, Nuovo Cimento B **52**, 28 (1967).
- [16] L. Pontryagin, A. Andronov, and A. Vitt, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **3**, 165 (1933); translated in Ref. [6].
- [17] J.M. Sancho, M. San Miguel, S.L. Katz, and J.D. Gunton, Phys. Rev. A **26**, 1589 (1982); S. Faetti, C. Festa, L. Fronzoni, P. Grigolini, F. Marchesoni, and V. Palleschi, Phys. Lett. A **99**, 25 (1983).
- [18] P. Jung and H. Risken, Z. Phys. B **59**, 469 (1985); J.M. Sancho, R. Mannella, P.V.E. McClintock, and F. Moss, Phys. Rev. A **32**, 3639 (1985).